IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION 3:06cr87

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA |) | |
|--------------------------|---|-------|
| |) | |
| VS. |) | |
| |) | ORDER |
| |) | |
| TARRAH CLYBURN (4) |) | |
| |) | |

THIS MATTER is before the Court upon motion of the defendant pro se for a reduction of sentence based on the retroactive amendments to the United States Sentencing Guidelines relating to crack cocaine. (Doc. No. 150).

The defendant pled guilty to conspiring to possess at least 50 grams of cocaine base, along with other drugs, with intent to distribute and possessing a firearm in furtherance of that drug trafficking crime. (Doc. No. 103: Judgment at 1; Doc. No. 66: Plea Agreement at 1-2). At sentencing, the Court determined that the defendant was responsible for a marijuana equivalency of 5,208 kilograms. (Presentence Report (PSR) at ¶ 26). The resulting offense level of 34 was decreased by 5 for having a mitigating role and by 3 for accepting responsibility. (Id. at ¶ 26, 29, 33). Combined with a criminal history category of III, the resulting advisory guideline range for the drug offense was 120 months. (Doc. No. 104: Statement of Reasons at 1). The government moved for a downward departure pursuant to USSG §5K1.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e). (Doc. No. 99: Motion). After granting the motion, the Court sentenced the defendant to 57 months' imprisonment on the drug offense and 30 consecutive months on the gun offense. (Doc. No. 103: Judgment at 2).

¹ The defendant's offense was subject to a mandatory minimum sentence of 10 years. 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(A)(iii).

In determining whether the defendant is entitled to a further reduction under the recent amendments to the guidelines, the Court must calculate the guideline range as if the amendments had been in place at the time of sentencing. USSG §1B1.10(b)(1). If a statutory mandatory minimum exceeds the guideline range, the Court must use the statutorily required sentence as the starting point prior to considerations of departure. USSG §5G1.1(b); <u>United States v. Pillow</u>, 191 F.3d 403, 407 (4th Cir. 1999). No reduction in sentence is authorized under 18 U.S.C. § 3552(c)(2) when an amendment has no effect because a statutory mandatory minimum prevents lowering the guideline range. USSG §1B1.10, comment. (n.1(A)(ii)); <u>United States v. Peters</u>, 524 F.3d 905, 907 (8th Cir. 2008); <u>United States v. Robinson</u>, No. 3:95cr178 (W.D.N.C. Aug. 22, 2008) (Whitney, J.); <u>United States v. Thompson</u>, 2008 WL 3853508, slip op. at 1 (W.D.N.C. Aug. 19, 2008) (Thornburg, J.); <u>United States v. Holly</u>, 2008 WL 2705602, slip op. at 3-4 (W.D.N.C. July 10, 2008) (Reidinger, J.); <u>but see United States v. Foxx</u>, 2008 WL 2986964, slip op. at 1 (W.D.N.C. July 31, 2008) (Mullen, S.J.) (calculating amended guideline range from resulting range following departure beneath mandatory minimum at original sentencing).

Here, the amended guidelines provide for a two-level reduction in offense level, USSG §2D1.1, comment. (n.10(D)), but the resulting guideline range remains trumped by the 120-month mandatory minimum sentence prior to considerations of departure. Therefore, the defendant is not entitled to relief.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the defendant's motion is DENIED.

The Clerk is directed to certify copies of this order to the defendant, the Community

Defender, the United States Attorney, the United States Marshals Service, and the United States

Probation Office.

Signed: October 24, 2008

Robert J. Conrad, Jr.

Chief United States District Judge